AJN

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.297 OF 2007

P. Sampath Kumar ... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Rajiv Patil i/b Mr. Milind Sawant for the petitioner.

Ms. P.H. Kantharia, A.P.P. for the State.

Mr. Kuldeep Patil for respondent 3.

CORAM : SMT. RANJANA DESAI & D. B. BHOSALE, JJ.

DATED : 29TH MARCH, 2007.

P.C.:-

We have heard Mr. Patil, the learned counsel for the petitioner. We have also heard Ms. Kantharia, the learned A.P.P. for the State. In our opinion, this is not a case where the FIR should be quashed. The investigation is going on. The police should conclude it at the earliest. If the police desire to arrest the petitioner, they may give 72 hours' notice to the petitioner. We record the statement of Mr. Patil, the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is co-operating with the investigation. The learned A.P.P. makes a serious grievance that the petitioner is not co-

operating with the investigation. Needless to say that if any anticipatory bail application is made, the court seized of the same shall deal with it independently and in accordance with law.

The petition is rejected.

[SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.]

[D. B. BHOSALE, J.]